UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . Criminal No. 1:10cr485

.

vs. . Alexandria, Virginia

December 16, 2014

JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING, . 10:00 a.m.

•

Defendant.

.

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: JAMES L. TRUMP, AUSA

DENNIS M. FITZPATRICK, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office

2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

and

ERIC G. OLSHAN, Deputy Chief Public Integrity Section of the

Criminal Division

United States Department of

Justice

1400 New York Avenue, N.W.

Suite 12100

Washington, D.C. 20005

FOR THE DEFENDANT: EDWARD B. MAC MAHON, JR., ESQ.

Law Office of Edward B.

MacMahon, Jr.

107 East Washington Street

P.O. Box 25

Middleburg, VA 20118

(APPEARANCES CONT'D. ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

(Pages 1 - 12)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)
 2
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                                    BARRY J. POLLACK, ESQ.
                                    Miller & Chevalier Chartered
 3
                                    655 - 15th Street, N.W.
                                    Suite 900
                                    Washington, D.C. 20005-5701
 4
 5
     ALSO PRESENT:
                                    JOEL KURTZBERG, ESQ.
 6
 7
     CISO OFFICER:
                                    CHRISTINE GUNNING
 8
     OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
                                    ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, CRR
 9
                                    U.S. District Court, Fifth Floor
                                    401 Courthouse Square
10
                                    Alexandria, VA 22314
                                    (703)299-8595
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I think this concept of having Mr. Risen in a less formal setting without the jury here and having an opportunity to see exactly what questions he would be willing to answer and then possibly using that as a substitution for his testimony in person during the trial has been discussed in the past, and I assume you've discussed that possibility with him?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KURTZBERG: Your Honor, my understanding is that what had previously been discussed was that there would be sort

- of a run-through before Mr. Risen would testify. That was back several years ago. This concept seems slightly different, that it would be in lieu of any actual testimony, so no, I have not had an opportunity to discuss that with my client.
 - THE COURT: Well, again, I'm not sure if the defense agrees with that, but, I mean, we're trying to tailor this trial and make it as efficiently tried as possible. If the testimony of Mr. Risen -- because I expect based upon the response of the government that we have in court, and this was just filed this morning so I don't think it's even now in the system yet, there would be a relatively small number of questions that he's going to be willing to answer.
 - MR. KURTZBERG: Okay, Your Honor. I mean, I haven't had a chance to discuss it with him.
 - THE COURT: All right. But, I mean, do you agree that -- I mean, he has agreed to answer a few questions about how he prepared the book.
 - MR. KURTZBERG: I know that a couple of years back, that he had agreed to do that. I don't know what my client's current position would be. I haven't had a chance to discuss with him the government's filing, which I just received this morning.
- 23 Your Honor?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 MR. KURTZBERG: One question that I would have is

1 whether or not the areas of testimony that the government 2 outlines that they want Mr. Risen to speak to are things that 3 the defense might even stipulate to. That's something that I 4 would have expected to have been discussed as part of the 5 process that the government says has concluded under the regulation of 28 CFR 50.10. They're supposed to look at 6 7 alternatives to seeking testimony of a journalist before they 8 issue a subpoena, but my understanding, again, I haven't had 9 this for very long, is that there haven't been any discussions 10 with defense counsel whatsoever about whether there would be a 11 stipulation on these points. 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. MacMahon, I need to hear 13 from you then. 14 MR. MAC MAHON: Good morning, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. 16 MR. MAC MAHON: Judge, we just -- Mr. Kurtzberg gave 17 me a copy of that filing when we came to court. 18 THE COURT: I got it about ten minutes ago. 19 MR. MAC MAHON: And I'm not faulting the government, 20 but living down in the SCIF, it's hard, we don't have any 21 Internet access, so Mr. Pollack and I and Mr. Sterling have 22 just had a minute to go over it. 23 I do want to reiterate our motion for discovery. 24 It's clear that the government is abandoning any attempts it 25 could have to compel Mr. Risen's testimony and thus threaten

him with jail, and I think that is *Brady* in the concept that we've raised in various motions. So that motion now is, is ripe for the Court to inquire into further as to what other -- what may have happened in the past, because again, we don't know anything about it.

My understanding, and I'll echo Mr. Kurtzberg, is that the last time, you were going to have a dry run of his testimony, but there wasn't a discussion of stipulating or as a substitute kind of under CIPA for his live testimony, and we haven't had a chance to look into that, either, but we're kind of in a position where we don't know how to react to what we have here.

We have lots of discovery about Mr. Risen that the government has given us, FedEx records, credit card receipts, tons of things that we may want to try to get in ourselves. We have Western Union transactions with his children, and we want to know in terms of how this investigation was done why this, this paper all here, none of this was done to any of these other witnesses that we'll talk about in our CIPA hearings before, but the idea that we could just sanitize this completely by limiting this to two or three questions is hard for us to fathom at this point in time, but we're willing to listen and see what we can hear.

THE COURT: Well, what I don't want to happen, have happen is that Mr. Risen is called as a witness during the

1 trial and all of a sudden, he's being bombarded with questions 2 to which he has to say he's declining to answer. I think 3 that's not appropriate, and so I want to make sure that the 4 parameters of Mr. Risen's testimony are absolutely set in 5 stone. Whether he has to come into the jury trial and repeat 6 7 those answers to those questions is one thing, or whether after 8 we've heard from him, everybody is satisfied that the amount of 9 information he provides is so relatively small that it could be 10 done in a stipulation, and I -- because you are the defense 11 counsel, of course, you know, you have a right to make your 12 position known on that. 13 So we're not ready to really resolve yet the issue of 14 Mr. Risen. 15 MR. MAC MAHON: It wouldn't appear that way, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Trump? And, Mr. Trump, 18 just for the record, my understanding is that you and your 19 office are really not directly involved in working out these parameters. Is that a fair statement? 20 21 MR. TRUMP: No, that's not a fair statement --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. TRUMP: -- in the sense of working out the 24 parameters for trial.

25

Obviously, the decision as reflected in docket 352

1 was the decision of the attorney general.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TRUMP: With respect to comments by defense counsel, first of all, with respect to anything that defense counsel may have received in discovery, he's had four years to go to Mr. Risen's attorneys and to ask to speak to Mr. Risen or them about any of those matters.

If there are legitimate questions about those, that's one reason why we should have this, a hearing outside of the presence of the jury so that defense counsel can question

Mr. Risen as to any of those matters and see what the answer is, but we, we suspect that for the most part, it would largely be irrelevant.

In addition, Your Honor, defense counsel is not under the restrictions that we're under with respect to the questions that could be posed to Mr. Risen or any further proceedings with respect to Mr. Risen. Defense counsel can ask any question he wants without restriction and can address the Court as to any appropriate position they have with respect to the Sixth Amendment issues that might arise. These are things that we discussed as the rationale for having such a hearing.

For example, if the government were to elicit the information in this pleading and Mr. Risen agreed to provide that testimony, we have no idea what questions defense counsel would want to ask Mr. Risen. Some may be relevant; some may be

10 not; but that's the whole purpose to have, have a hearing. 1 2 We're completely in the dark as to what questions the 3 defendant would pose to Mr. Risen, and we asked for a proffer 4 of that previously, and for good reason, they said they were 5 not going to provide that, that information as a matter of trial strategy, but at some point, it's time to get this out in 6 7 the open and to address any Sixth Amendment issues that might 8 arise and then proceed from there. 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kurtzberg, come back up 10 for a second. When would you and your client be available for 11 a hearing of that sort? 12 MR. KURTZBERG: I've spoken to my client about his 13 availability, and we would be available January 5th, 6th, or 14 8th. 15 THE COURT: Let's do it the 5th because that's the 16 furthest out. I assume all the other attorneys can make it on 17 Monday the 5th? Yes? 18 All right, I have a matter already set at 10:00. Why 19 don't we set this for 10:30 Monday morning, January 5. Does 20 that work for defense counsel? 21 MR. MAC MAHON: Just a second, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 23 MR. MAC MAHON: I'm sorry. 24 It works, Your Honor.

25

THE COURT: All right. 10:30, all right? So do we

- 1 | need to issue a subpoena to Mr. Kurtzberg, or will he --
- 2 Mr. Kurtzberg, to your client, or will you make sure that
- 3 Mr. Risen will be here at that time?
- 4 MR. KURTZBERG: We have not received -- I certainly
- 5 can make sure that -- you want my client at that hearing?
- 6 THE COURT: Oh, yes.
- 7 MR. KURTZBERG: I certainly can make sure that he'll
- 8 be here, but I do think that we would like a subpoena to issue.
- 9 We have not received a subpoena from the government. He's
- 10 | certainly not volunteering to be here.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Trump, go ahead
- 12 and --
- MR. TRUMP: Yes, we will issue a subpoena.
- 14 THE COURT: All right, that's good. All right, then
- 15 | that's set.
- 16 Are there any other matters that can be discussed in
- 17 open court? If not, we'll need to take about a ten-minute
- 18 recess to reset the courtroom.
- 19 MR. TRUMP: Can we have 15 minutes? Because we have
- 20 to go back to the SCIF and gather up some materials.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Will 15 minutes be enough to
- 22 get the courtroom set up, Ms. Gunning?
- MS. GUNNING: Yes, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: It will, all right. Then we're going to
- 25 recess court for 15 minutes, and the public, unfortunately,

		12
1	will have to leave because it will be a sealed hearing under	
2	CIPA. All right.	
3	(Which were all the proceedings	
4	had at this time.)	
5		
6	CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER	
7	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of	
8	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.	
9		
10		
11	/s/ Anneliese J. Thomson	
12	immerrese o. mombon	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		